Shadow Executive (Cabinet)



Title of Report:	Recommendations from Grant Working Party: 5 November 2018: Community Chest Funding – 2019/2020		
Report No:	EXC/SA/18/014		
Report to and date:	Shadow Executive (Cabinet)	27 November 2018	
Shadow Executive (Cabinet) Member/ Portfolio Holder:	Robert Everitt SEBC Portfolio Holder for Families and Communities Tel: 01284 769000 Email: robert.everitt@stedsbc.gov.uk		
Chairman of the Grant Working Party:	Jim Thorndyke Grant Working Party Tel: 01359 250271 Email : jim.thorndyke@stedsbc.gov.uk		
Lead officer:	Davina Howes Head of Families and Communities Tel: 01284 757070 Email : davina.howes@westsuffolk.gov.uk		
Purpose of report:	 On 5 November 2018, the Grant Working Party considered the following substantive item of business: (1) Consideration of Community Chest Funding – 2019/2020 Recommendations emanating from (1) above are provided for the Shadow Executive's consideration below. 		
Recommendations:	It is <u>RECOMMENDED</u> that: (1) the allocation of Community Chest funding for 2019/2020, as previously approved in 2017/2018 as part of two-year funding agreements, be noted, (paper CAB/SE/17/071 refers) namely:		

(a)	Our Special Friends:	£6,000
(b)	Suffolk West Citizens Advice	£182,000
(c)		£5,000
(d)	St Nicholas' Hospice Care – Bury St Edmunds	£6,533
(e)	St Nicholas' Hospice Care – Haverhill	£8,909
(f)	HomeStart Mid and West Suffolk	£10,000
(g)	REACH Community Projects	£10,000
(h)	Suffolk Cinema Network	£3,500
fo	ne allocation of Community or 2019/2020, as detailed ir WP/SE/18/002, be approve	n Report No:
(a) HomeStart Mid and West Suffolk	£9889.85
(b) Mentis Tree CIC	£10,775
(c) Bury St Edmunds Concert Youth Band	£3,290
(d) Millennium Farm Trust – Artful Farm	£6,800
(e	e) Honington and Sapiston Village Hall	£3,240
(f)) Stradishall Village Review Group	£13,926
2 si d ir a 2	ubject to the budget setting 020/2021, and subject to th ubmission of evidence-base etailing the benefits and suc ndividual project in 2019/20 llocation of Community Ches 019/2020 and 2020/2021, amely:	ne satisfactory d reports ccess of each 020, the st funding for

		2019/20	2020/21
(2)	Gatehouse		
(a) (b)		£10,000	£10,000
(b)	and Rescue		
	Services (SARS)	£5,000	£5,000
(c)	Bury Drop in	£12,288	£12,288
(d)	REACH Community Projects (separate project to that detailed in (1)(g) above)	£9,360	£9,360
(e)	The Voluntary Network – Community Transport	£14,337	£15,800
(£)	-		
(f)	Haverhill Community Trust	£24,371	£25,168
(4)	No Community Chest 2019/2020 be award		r
	 (a) Leading Lives; (b) The Voluntary I Support; (c) Aspect Living-I (d) EPIC Dad; (e) Families and May Violence; (f) Fresh Start - N (g) Survivors in Transition (h) Lions Club, Bur (i) Multicultural W Edmunds Limited 	Meals on W ore – Growi ew Beginni ansition; y St Edmun omen's Gro	heels; ing Against ngs; ds; and
(5)	The Portfolio Holder Communities, in cons Grant Working Party, his existing delegate individual portfolio h make a decision(s) o unallocated 2019/20 funding to projects, a justifiable and to a m as set out in paragra	sultation wi be asked t d powers fo older decisi n utilising a 20 Commun as appropria aximum of	th the o exercise or making ons, to ony nity Chest ate and

Key Decision:	Is this a	a Key De	ecision and, if so, ur	nder which
	definitio	on?		
<i>(Check the appropriate box and delete all those</i>)	Yes, it i	Yes, it is a Key Decision - 🖂		
that <u>do not</u> apply.)	No, it is	No, it is not a Key Decision - 🗆		
	(ii) r			
	S	savings of more than £100,000 in relation to the		
	S	Shadow Council's revenue budget or capital		
	p	programme.		
	Some	Some of the decisions made by the Shadow Executive		
				the budget setting
				t for 2019/2020 has
	•		oproved.)	
The decisions made			•	published within 48
hours and cannot b	e actioned ι	ıntil five	e clear working da	iys of the
publication of the	decision ha	ave elap	sed. This item is ind	cluded on the
Shadow Executive (Cabinet) De			
Consultation:		1	e Report No: GWP/SE/18/002	
Alternative option	(s):	• See	e Report No: GWP/S	SE/18/002
Implications:			1	
Are there any financial implications?			See Report No: G	NP/SE/18/002
If yes, please give d				
Are there any staffing implications? If		See Report No: GWP/SE/18/002		
yes, please give details				
Are there any ICT implications? If yes,		See Report No: GWP/SE/18/002		
please give details				
Are there any legal and/or policy		See Report No: GWP/SE/18/002		
implications? If yes,	please give			
details				
Are there any equality implications? If yes, please give details		See Report No: GWP/SE/18/002		
II yes, please give c	ielalis			
Risk/opportunity	assessmen	t:	(potential hazards or o	opportunities affecting
			corporate, service or p	project objectives)
Risk area	Inherent le		Controls	Residual risk (after
	risk (before			controls)
controls) See Report No: GWP/SE/18/002				
Ward(s) affected:	· · · · ·	<u> </u>	All Wards	
Background papers:		Grant Working Party: 5 November		
backyround papers.		2018 Report No: GWP/SE/18/002		
Documents attack	Documents attached:		None	
Documents attached:				
			Ι	

1. <u>Consideration of Community Chest Funding – 2019/2020</u> (Report No: GWP/SE/18/002)

- 1.1 Report No: GWP/SE/18/002 was considered by the Grant Working Party on 5 November 2018. The report provided a number of applications submitted for Community Chest funding in the 2019/2020 financial year.
- 1.2 Applications for Community Chest funding for 2019/2020 closed on 28 September 2018. A total of 21 applications were received from a wide variety of organisations as detailed in Appendix 1 to Report No: GWP/SE/18/002.
- 1.3 The Community Chest budget for 2019/2020 is £281,483. There is also £95,139.20 also available for allocation through carried over unspent funds from previous years. A total of £231, 942 of the 2019/2020 budget was committed in 2017 for second year funding to a variety of organisations as listed in Recommendation (1) for noting by the Shadow Executive.

Community Chest Budget :	£281,483.00
Funds already allocated	£231,942.00
Carried over funds	£95,139.20
Remaining Budget	£144,680.20

Applicants can apply for a maximum of two years funding.

- 1.4 There are a number of potential synergies between the applications and as such they were grouped in the following categories for consideration by the Working Party:
 - Health
 - Family support
 - Counselling
 - Advice, advocacy and support
 - Arts, sports and young people
 - Community activities
- 1.5 Each application had been summarised within the appropriate category listed above, with the full applications attached as appendices to the report. Each application was required to be evaluated in accordance with the eligibility and selection criteria set out, and was considered in turn, as follows:

Appendix attached to Report No: GWP/SE/18/002	Organisation	Amount Requested
Health	I	
3	Gatehouse	2019/20: £10,000
		2020/21: £10,000
4	Leading Lives	2019/20: £14,000
		2020/21: £2,000
5	Suffolk Accident Rescue	2019/20: £5,000
	Service (SARS)	2020/21: £5,000
6	The Voluntary Network –	2019/20: £14,340
	Connect and Support	2020/21: £14,340
7	Aspect Living – Meals on	2019/20: £10,853.50
	Wheels	2020/21: £0
Family support		
8	EPIC Dad	2019/20: £7,968
		2020/21: £0
9	Families and More	2019/20: £30,000
		2020/21: £0
10	HomeStart Mid and West	2019/20: £9,889.85
	Suffolk	2020/21: £0
Counselling		
11	Fresh Start – New Beginnings	2019/20: £11,600
		2020/21: £11,600
12	Mentis Tree CIC	2019/20: £10,775
		2020/21: £0
13	Survivors in Transition	2019/20: £13,590
		2020/21: £13,590
Advice, advocacy	and support	-
14	Bury Drop In	2019/20: £12,288
		2020/21: £12,288
15	REACH Community Projects	2019/20: £9,360
		2020/21: £9,360
16	The Voluntary Network	2019/20: £14,337
	Community Transport	2020/21: £15,800
Arts, sports and y	oung people	
17	Bury St Edmunds Concert	2019/20: £3,290
	Youth Band	2020/21: £0
18	Lions Club, Bury St Edmunds	2019/20: £3,000
		2020/21: £0
19	Millennium Farm Trust	2019/20: £6,800
		2020/21: £0
20	Multicultural Women's Group,	2019/20: £6,990
	Bury St Edmunds Limited	2020/21: £0
Community activit		
21	Honington and Sapiston	2019/20: £3,240
	Village Hall	2020/21: £0
22	Haverhill Community Trust	2019/20: £24,371
		2020/21: £25,168
23	Stradishall Village Review	2019/20: £19,052
	Group	2020/21: £0

1.6

1.7 **Consideration of Grants and Recommendations: Summary**

- 1.7.1 The Working Party considered the following applications fully met the eligibility and selection criteria and supported the allocation of the full amount for each project in 2019/2020, as applied for:
 - (a) Bury St Edmunds Concert Youth Band;
 - (b) Millennium Farm Trust Artful Farm;
 - (c) Honington and Sapiston Village Hall; and
 - (d) Stradishall Village Review Group (for a reduced amount of £13,926, see 1.7.5 below).
- 1.7.2 The Working Party considered that the following applications met the eligibility and selection criteria and were satisfied that funding should be recommended to be allocated for 2019/2020 and 2020/2021, as applied for:
 - (a) Gatehouse;
 - (b) Suffolk Accident Rescue Services (SARS);
 - (c) Bury Drop in;
 - (d) REACH Community Projects;
 - (e) The Voluntary Network Community Transport; and
 - (f) Haverhill Community Trust.

In respect of the above organisations, they would be asked to submit an evidence-based report (in addition to the usual monitoring reporting), detailing the benefits and success of their individual project in 2019/2020 so a re-assessment can be made before releasing funding for 2020/2021.

1.7.3 Regarding the application submitted by HomeStart Mid and West Suffolk for support towards funding the delivery of its Birth and Beyond project in Bury St Edmunds, the Working Party considered that whilst it was minded to grant the £9,889.85 funding that had been applied for in 2019/2020 only, further information was required before committing. As the work appeared to be a diversification from the type of service usually offered by HomeStart in that delivery of this project seemed to duplicate the service previously provided by the County Council's SureStart programme, Members felt that this project should be offered outside of Bury St Edmunds, particularly in villages that formerly provided Childrens' Centres.

The Working Party recognised the benefits of the project and considered it met the eligibility and selection criteria; however, it felt that it could not fully commit to an allocation until reassurance was given that the project would be provided in the wider area.

1.7.4 Regarding the application submitted by Mentis Tree CIC to support Young Carers aged between 10-24 years, a group who experience disadvantage through isolation, non-identification and mental health issues relating to their caring role, the Working Party considered that whilst it was minded to grant the £10,775 funding that had been applied for in 2019/2020, further information was required before committing. The Working Party recognised the important work of this project but wished to obtain further details of the Children in Need funding including the reasons for this funding source agreeing to support the project; and whether the organisation had worked in partnership with the well-known established Suffolk Young Family Carers organisation.

1.7.5 Regarding the application submitted by Stradishall Village Review Group for support towards funding facilities on its playing field for community use, the Working Party initially expressed concern that two thirds of the entire project cost had been sought from Community Chest funding and therefore the organisation needed to be encouraged to seek further alternative match funding sources.

The Working Party recognised the benefits of the project and considered it met the eligibility and selection criteria; however, it felt that an allocation of $\pm 13,926$ in 2019/2020 instead of the $\pm 19,052$ that had been applied for was a more appropriate amount as this would fund 50% rather than 68% of the total project cost.

- 1.7.6 The following applications were not supported on this occasion and have therefore not been recommended for funding:
 - (a) Leading Lives;
 - (b) The Voluntary Network Connect and Support;
 - (c) Aspect Living- Meals on Wheels;
 - (d) EPIC Dad;
 - (e) Families and More Growing Against Violence;
 - (f) Fresh Start New Beginnings;
 - (g) Survivors in Transition;
 - (h) Lions Club, Bury St Edmunds; and
 - (i) Multicultural Women's Group, Bury St Edmunds Limited.
- 1.7.8 Reasons for this included:
 - not meeting the eligibility and selection criteria;
 - the quality of the application;
 - lack of justification for the amount of funding requested and how it would be utilised;
 - similar projects already existed in St Edmundsbury, therefore some projects could be consolidated with other existing organisations through effective collaborative working;
 - whether the objectives of the project would benefit sufficient numbers of the community; and
 - projects could be funded by alternative funding sources.

If the Working Party's recommendations are approved by the Shadow Executive, the officers will provide individual feedback to those applicants that have been unsuccessful.

1.7.9 Subject to approval, a total of £21,403.35 will remain available in the St Edmundsbury Community Chest Fund for 2019/2020. In previous years, this balance, if left unspent could be carried forward to the next financial year; however, as Forest Heath District Council and St Edmundsbury Borough Council would no longer exist following the creation of West Suffolk Council from 1 April 2019, this will not be possible this year. The Working Party therefore considered it appropriate for St Edmundsbury's Portfolio Holder for Families and Communities to exercise his individual decision making powers, in consultation with the Grant Working Party, to utilise the remainder by allocating suitable funding to other projects that may come forward or to increase the amount of funding already granted to those organisations listed above if it was considered appropriate and justifiable.